Managing Water Conflicts through Dialogue in Pangani Basin, Tanzania

Julius Sarmett. Pangani Basin Water Office. juliussarmett@yahoo.com

Raphael Burra. PAMOJA Trust. rburra@pamojatrust.com

Rinus Van Klinken. SNV-Netherlands Development Organization. rvanklinken@snv.org

Kelly West. IUCN - The World Conservation Union, Eastern Africa. kaw@iucnearo.org

Abstract

Pangani is a water-stressed basin with many latent and emerging conflicts among water user groups. To address these conflicts, dialogues platforms were established at each site to bring together actors to discuss the contentious issues and work towards consensus in resolving them. In one case this included negotiating an agreement for land-use planning that allows pastoralists access to water supplies. Another case involved recognizing the efficacy and to some extent reinstituting traditional systems where water was managed by hydrological boundaries and not administrative boundaries. In one case, a conflict between the Arusha Urban Water Supply and small-scale downstream users, the dialogue process stalled because of political and national interests.

The project found that dialogues processes require time, resources and increase the transaction costs of water management. At the same time, they *can* strengthen Water Users Associations (WUAs), foster relationships between the government and communities, and promote the formation of *new WUAs*. Dialogues processes have a better chance of success if they are initiated prior to a crisis situation. The process should include: an analysis of the conflict, relationship and trust building, negotiating solutions and action plans and joint implementation of the action plans. The more inclusive the process is, the more sustainable and equitable the outcome will likely be.

Keywords: communities and water management, water-related conflicts, Pangani River Basin

Introduction to Pangani Basin

The Pangani River Basin is about 43,650 km², with about 5% of this area in Kenya, and the remainder distributed across the Arusha, Manyara, Kilimanjaro and Tanga regions of Tanzania. The Pangani River system drains the southern and eastern sides of Africa's highest peak, Mt. Kilimanjaro (5,985 m) as well as Mt. Meru (4,566 m), then passes through the arid Masai Steppe, draining the Pare and Usambara Mountains before reaching the coastal town of Pangani, marking its estuary with the Indian Ocean.

Pangani Basin is one of Tanzania's most productive areas, with nationally important agricultural outputs and hydropower production (95 MW, 17% of Tanzania's national power grid capacity) as well as globally important forest and biodiversity resources. The basin hosts an estimated 3.7 million people, 80% of whom rely directly or indirectly on irrigated agriculture for their livelihoods.

Climate change has had a significant effect on the basin and the situation is expected to worsen. The glacial ice caps of Mt. Kilimanjaro, towering over the basin, are expected to disappear completely by 2020 and increased temperatures are expected to result in a 6-9% annual reduction in surface flows (VPO-URT 2003; OECD 2003). Climate change and abstractions over the past decades have reduced in-stream flows from several hundred to less than 40 cubic meters per second (IUCN 2003).

Fangani is a water-stressed basin with many latent and emerging conflicts among water user groups. Current supplies do not even meet the allocation of the more than 1000 users holding water rights. In addition, there are more than 1800 traditional abstractions that do not hold water rights (PBWO 2005). Water is over-allocated, resulting in many conflicts among water user groups. Conflicts include conflicts of scale, conflicts of tenure and conflicts of location.

Sumflicts of coale: Conflicts have emerged between water users of different sizes and other in the basin. Large scale plantations, often backed by foreign investment and using hundreds of liters of water per second through efficient drip irrigation systems, differ standy from small-scale users of traditional furrow systems with efficiency as low as 14%. Similarly, the three urban centers in the basin require more water as they expand, plitting oily municipalities against the village governments of farming communities.

the *filets of binarce* if enure is the right to manage a resource. Many small-scale users in Pangani Basin are reluctant to apply and pay for water rights, arguing that water is a 'glit from God'. These communities reject government efforts to manage water resources, even to the point of vandalizing water control galaxies and structures.

Conflicts of Jocation: Tenzania Electric Cupply Company (TANESCO) pays a royally to the Ministry of Water & Livestock Development for 95 MV/, ensuming a 45 m³/s flow. Because of reduced rainfall and upstream abstractions, flow to the hydropower installation is often as little ap 15 m³/s, limiting production to be low as 32 MW and creating national-lovel conflicts as power supply to the national grid is compromised. In addition, reduced flows have led to saltwater intrusions almost 20 km upstream, compromising agricultural activities in the lower basin and creating conflicts.

The Panyani Easth Water Office (PBWO) and its Doard are tasked with managing and allocating water recourses in the basin and ultimately balancing stakeholder demands in light of reducing hupply. For two years, PBWO has ' ech working in partnership with the local NCO Pamoja and IUCN to address water-related conflicts.

Come of the necessary staps to recolving these conflicts include: cival eness raising about water sector reforms, IWRM, and the likely effects of climate charge; and supporting the twater allocation process with technical data including the environmental, economic and social conts and banefits of different allocation scenarios. These steps are underway in the Pangani Basin in a projuct that is part of the IUCN Water & Nature Indiative.

In the maantime project partners have been working on a Dialogues Process to address some of the conflicte in the basin. This process included a detailed cituation analysis of conflicts at 5 different sites in the basin, including conflicts of scale, tenure and location. A stakeholder workshop including representatives from communities, local and national government and technical experts, was held to discuss the situation incluses and propose a way forward for resolving these conflicts.

Subsequently, unleques platforms were established at each site to bring together actors to discuss the contanticus issues and work towards consensus in resolving them. In one case this includen negetiating an agreement for land-use planning that allows pastoralists access to water supplies. Another case involved recogniting the effically and to some extent reinstituting traditional systems where water was managed by hydrological boundaries and not administrative boundaries, making it easier to negotiate agreements between upstream and down stream users. In one case, a conflict between the Arusha Urban Water Supply and

small-scale downstream users, the dialogue process has stalled because of political and national interests.

The Dialogues process in itself has gone far in bridging the gap between the Pangani Basin Water Office and the communities who did not understand the PBWO's role in managing and allocating the resource and thus were refusing to apply for water rights. The Dialogues process, in all cases, strengthened water user associations (WUAs).

Some of the lessons learned in this phase of the Dialogues process include:

1. Authority: Traditional governance systems had ways of dealing with water conflicts and these traditional arrangements should be recognized and accommodated by authorities as much as possible within water sector reforms. In such cases, the role of the basin office is as a technical advisor on policy, legislation and best practices rather than an enforcement agency.

2. **Devolving decision-making to lower levels:** People need to have a stake (ownership) in resources as an incentive to use the resource sustainably. Issues of equity, land tenure, ownership, rights and distribution of benefits must therefore also be addressed.

3. Role of Dialogue in strengthening water resources management: Dialogues forums strengthen Water Users Associations (WUAs) and may stimulate the formalization of WUAs and/or the formation of similar associations. Demonstrations are powerful, especially the demonstration, or threat, of a well-organized neighbor with legal rights to water.

4. *Dialogue processes:* Dialogues processes have a better chance of success if they are initiated prior to a crisis situation. Steps in the process include:

- Understanding the conflict
- Building relationships and trust
- Agreeing on solutions (signing memorandums of understanding with action plans)

Joint implementation of action plans

5. **Willingness to negotiate equitable solutions:** The co-existence of legal and illegal water users (those with and without water permits) hinders the willingness to negotiate equitable solutions. The more inclusive the process is, the more sustainable and equitable the outcome will likely be. Increased inclusion, however, does have higher transaction costs.

6. **Capacity and strength of local institutions:** Water is a cross-cutting issue and as such, many sectors have a role to play. These include the ministries of water, of agriculture, of planning, of regional and local governance, among others. Sometimes these various sectors have overlap in their mandates that causes confusion. Institutional arrangements for water management must be clarified, harmonized and publicized at all levels (national, regional, district, local).

7. **Site specific approach** The local, site specific variables including history (colonization, chiefdoms, centralization, decentralization), current-politics and market forces, population demographics, and effects of climate change all come into play over water negotiations and must be understood in the dialogues process.

In the case-of Pangani Basin, with more than 500 water users associations (WUAs) and many of these having conflicts over water, it is time-consuming and expensive to establish and foster Dialogues processes at all sites. For especially important conflicts (those involving significant numbers of people or resources, or violence) specific forums should be established.

3

Other conflicts we hope can be treated within the context of sub-catchment forums. The Tanzanian National Water Policy (2002) and Water Sector Development Strategy (in draft) make provisions for such forums. This year we will embark on establishing the first sub-catchment forum in Tanzania in the Kikuletwa Subcatchment, one of the most contentious areas in Pangani Basin. In preparing to establish the forum, we will:

- conduct a situation analysis for the subcatchment,
- convene a stakeholders meeting to get feedback on the situation analysis and input into the design process for the forum
- conduct training and awareness-raising to establish a common understanding of water policy, legislation, and IWRM principles and prepare WUAs for participation in the forum.

Conclusions

Dialogues processes require time and resources and they increase the transaction costs of water management. At the same time, they can strengthen Water Users Associations, foster relationships between the government and communities, and promote the formation of Water Users Associations where they did not yet exist. Dialogues processes have a better chance of success if they are initiated prior to a crisis situation. The process should include: an analysis of the conflict, relationship and trust building, negotiating solutions and action plans and joint implementation of the action plans. The more inclusive the process is, the more sustainable and equitable the outcome will likely be.

<u>References</u>

IUCN (2003). The Pangani River Basin: A Situation Analysis. IUCN Eastern Africa Regional Office, Nairobi.

Office of the Vice President, The United Republic of Tanzania. (2003) Initial National Communication Under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (2003). Mainstreaming Climate Responses in Development Planning and Assistance

Pangani Basin Water Office (2005), unpublished data.